1 2	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) United States Attorney		
3	BARBARA J. VALLIERE (DCBN 439353) Chief, Criminal Division JULIE D. GARCIA (CABN 288624) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7014 FAX: (415) 436-7234 Julie.Garcia@usdoj.gov Attorneys for United States of America		
4 5			
6			
7 8			
9			
	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11 12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR 17-463 RS	
14	Plaintiff,))	
15	V.)) STIPULATION TO EXCLUDE TIME AND) [PROPOSED] ORDER	
16	JASON VINCENT PROCTOR,		
17	Defendant.)))	
18))	
19			
20	STIPULATION		
21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties to this action, as stated on the record		
22	at the status conference on December 5, 2017, that the time between December 5, 2017, and December		
23	12, 2017, be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B).		
24	Excluding such time will allow defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation,		
25	taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
26	//		
27	//		
28			
	ORDER EXLUDING TIME Case No. CR 17-463 RS		

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2	DATED: December 6, 2017 BRIAN J. STRETCH Livited States Atternation	
3	United States Attorney	
4	JULIE D. GARCIA	
5	Assistant United States Attorney	
6	DATED: December 6, 2017	
7	DATED. December 6, 2017	
8	JODI LINKER	
9	Counsel for Defendant JASON VINCENT PROCTOR	
10	JASON VINCEINI I ROCTOR	
11		
12	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
13	As explained on the record at the status conference on December 5, 2017, the Court finds that the	
14	exclusion of the period from December 5, 2017, to December 12, 2017, from the time limits applicable	
15	under 18 U.S.C. § 3161, is warranted; that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the	
16	interests of the public and the defendant in the prompt disposition of this criminal case; and that the	
17	failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would unreasonably deny defense counsel the reasonable	
18	time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would	
19	result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21	201101	
22	DATED: 12/6/17 HON, RICHARD SEEBOO	
23	United States District Judge	
24		
25		
26		
27		

ORDER EXLUDING TIME Case No. CR 17-463 RS

28